

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/004,271	10/31/2001	Mark P. Cauchon	CAUCHON ET ALPA-1	3845
7590 09/26/2005			EXAMINER	
Law Offices of Royal W. Craig			RIES, LAURIE ANNE	
Suite 153 10 North Calver	rt Street		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Baltimore, MD 21202			2176	

DATE MAILED: 09/26/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.





## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

10/004271	10/31/200 1	cauchon			
APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
			Laurie Ries		
				EXAMINER	
			2176	2176	
			ART UNIT	PAPER	

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner for Patents** 

5

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance for claim 6 of the Instant Application:

The affidavit filed on 18 January 2005 under 37 CFR 1.131 is sufficient to overcome the Heston reference (U.S. Publication 2002/0019741 A1). The prior art, namely Inala (U.S. Patent 6,442,590 B1), Plotkin (U.S. Publication 2001/0051962 A1) and Iwai (U.S. Patent 5,119,491), taken alone or in combination fail to teach or suggest the limitations of the claimed invention. Plotkin teaches maintaining a database of form documents, and writing information to an output file in RTF format. Iwai teaches processing a file line by line, and Inala teaches opening a secondary browser window. Plotkin, Inala and Iwai fail to teach a method of document assembly including requiring a user to complete an online questionnaire with personalized information.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

HEATHER R. HERNDON
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100